Biomechanics in the Modern Age: Reframing Podiatric Care for the Movement Health Revolution

Dr. Root's legacy lives on in the modern/digital age with his biomechanical principles intact.

BY KEVIN ROSENBLOOM, C.PED

Podiatry is advancing from localized interventions to an integrative approach centered on movement health. Clinicians now consider how patients move, where they move, what they wear, and how those factors shape mechanics and tissue loading. Functional orthotic therapy, once rigid and prescriptive, has become flexible, adaptive, and deeply embedded in preventive and rehabilitative care. Central to this evolution is a richer appreciation of variation in movement, load, footwear, and device design.

Functional orthotic therapy, once rigid and prescriptive, has become flexible, adaptive, and deeply embedded in preventive and rehabilitative care.

From Root's Foundations to Contemporary Practice

Dr. Merton Root's mid-twentieth century work on subtalar joint mechanics, posting theory, shell rigidity, and shoe device matching still grounds modern care. He catalogued hundreds of orthotic variations by adjusting heel to toe drop, undercut angles, heel cup depth, post length, and stiffness gradients. Today. digital tools honor those principles while streamlining prescription, manufacturing, and outcome tracking.

Step-by-Step Framework for Evidence-Guided Orthotic Care

Step 1: Comprehensive Biomechanical Examination

Perform:

- Static and dynamic gait analysis
- Range of motion, strength, and deformity screening
- Assessment of muscle tone, proprioception, and neural integrity
- Pressure mapping or plantar load evaluation
- Shoe inspection and lifestyle or activity profiling

The objective is to map pain generators and understand kinetic chain interactions under real world conditions.

Step 2: Define Therapeutic Goals

Clarify whether the device should:

1) Reduce acute pain
2) Stabilize hypermobility
3) Realign joint or tendon vectors
4) Absorb shock in rigid osseous structures
5) Enhance proprioception through controlled instability

These aims dictate shell stiffness, posting geometry, and reinforcement zones.

Step 3: Select an Orthotic Platform

Most fabrication laboratories offer distinct platforms such

Continued on page 82

Movement Health *(from page 81)*

as dress, performance, or control. Choose the one that best matches shoe volume and activity demands, then customize with posts, frame fillers, met pads, extensions, and material blends.

At dispensing, verify shoe integration, arch contour, heel height interaction, and patient comfort.

Step 4: Match Footwear and Coach Rotation

Device efficacy is capped by shoe behavior. A stiff shell inside an ultra soft trainer can negate benefits, while mild instability in a stable trainer may strengthen intrinsic musculature. Educate patients on:

- Selecting shoes with appropriate last, stack height, and torsional rigidity
- Rotating footwear to vary load vectors and promote adaptation

Educate patients on selecting shoes with appropriate last, stack height, and torsional rigidity.

FIGURE 1

Configuration	Primary Use Case	Biomechanical Rationale
Control	Long workdays, extended walking	Limits excessive motion and cumulative strain
Proprioceptive	Short walks, strength sessions	Enhances sensorimotor feedback and intrinsic activation
Semi flexible	Recovery days, specialty footwear	Allows mild motion for nutrient exchange and adaptation

Step 5: Capture the Foot with CAST

Use the Clinical Alignment Scanning Technique to acquire the foot in the clinician defined position, neutral, semi compensated, or functional, as dictated by pathology and gait style. This blueprint drives subsequent posting, shell morphology, and stiffness gradients.

Step 6: Write a Root Informed Prescription

Include, as indicated:

- Rearfoot posting, intrinsic or extrinsic, medial or lateral skives, varus or valgus wedges
- Midfoot reinforcements such as medial columns, lateral buttresses, carbon slots or inserts
- Frame fillers that provide shock absorption or proprioceptive feedback
- Top covers of Myolite, EVA, cork, or hybrid foams tuned to activity and pressure tolerance
- Offloading features like first-ray cutouts, Morton's extensions, metatarsal pads or bars

Step 7: Fit, Break In, and Adapt

At dispensing, verify shoe integration, arch contour, heel height interaction, and patient comfort. Establish a progressive wear schedule and long-term maintenance plan.

- Replace topcovers every six to twelve months for high-use patients
- Re-evaluate gait after injury, surgery, or footwear change
- Rotate devices across shoe categories to diversify tissue loading
- Incorporate foot strengthening or balance drills using less supportive inserts when appropriate

Embracing Load Variation

Stability, correction, and consistency remain vital, but controlled variability fosters tissue resilience. A single device cannot optimize every activity; consider prescribing multiple configurations (Figure 1).

Variation is deliberate, progressive, and matched to the patient's loading envelope rather than instability for its own sake.

Synthesis

A modern orthotic program integrates:

1) Root's biomechanical heritage
2) Individualized examination
3) Explicit therapeutic objectives
4) Platform selection aligned with real world footwear
5) C.A.S.T.-based digital capture of clinical intent
6) Prescriptions balancing control, shock absorption, and proprioception
7) Long-term adaptation through strategic variation

Orthotics crafted within this framework do more than alleviate symptoms; they engineer sustainable mobility. PM

Kevin Rosenbloom, C.Ped, is a board-certified pedorthist focused on bridging evolutionary biomechanics with contemporary orthotic science.