

Developmental Flatfoot—Part 2

This commonly occurring musculoskeletal condition is often overlooked or neglected.

BY JOSEPH C. D'AMICO, DPM

Goals and Objectives

To instill a knowledge and appreciation of the developmental flatfoot.

To discuss the role of neuro-motor immaturity and ligamentous laxity as etiologic factors in its production.

To present and emphasize its accompanying pathomechanics.

To appreciate the pathologic effects of excessive pronation on the superstructure.

To be able to offer a management rationale for this condition.

Welcome to Podiatry Management's CME Instructional program. Podiatry Management Magazine is approved by the Council on Podiatric Medical Education as a provider of continuing education in podiatric medicine. Podiatry Management Magazine has approved this activity for a maximum of 1.5 continuing education contact hours. This CME activity is free from commercial bias and is under the overall management of Podiatry Management Magazine.

You may enroll: 1) on a per issue basis (at \$27.00 per topic) or 2) per year, for the special rate of \$219 (you save \$51). You may submit the answer sheet, along with the other information requested, via mail, fax, or phone. You can also take this and other exams on the Internet at www.podiatrym.com/cme.

If you correctly answer seventy (70%) of the questions correctly, you will receive a certificate attesting to your earned credits. You will also receive a record of any incorrectly answered questions. If you score less than 70%, you can retake the test at no additional cost. A list of states currently honoring CPME approved credits is listed on pg. 128. Other than those entities currently accepting CPME-approved credit, Podiatry Management cannot guarantee that these CME credits will be acceptable by any state licensing agency, hospital, managed care organization or other entity. PM will, however, use its best efforts to ensure the widest acceptance of this program possible.

This instructional CME program is designed to supplement, NOT replace, existing CME seminars. The goal of this program is to advance the knowledge of practicing podiatrists. We will endeavor to publish high quality manuscripts by noted authors and researchers. If you have any questions or comments about this program, you can write or call us at: **Program Management Services, P.O. Box 490, East Islip, NY 11730, (631) 563-1604 or e-mail us at bblock@podiatrym.com.**

Following this article, an answer sheet and full set of instructions are provided (pg. 128).—Editor

Editor's Note: In Part 1, Dr. D'Amico discussed the ontogeny and etiology of flatfoot. In Part 2, he discusses the pathomechanics and management of this condition.

Neuromotor Immaturity

The nervous system of the newborn is immature and does not achieve the initial stages of maturation until one to two years after birth.³⁵ The myelination process begins in the fourth to sixth fetal month; however, the nerve fibers in the lower extremity are the last to receive their myelin coating.⁵⁷ Functional maturity and resultant

Usually, it is not until six years of age that most organ systems of the lower extremity motor mechanism are completely developed and adult coordination is demonstrated.⁵⁷

coordination are directly related to the degree of myelination that has taken place at any point in the continuum. Usually, it is not until six years of age that most organ systems of the lower extremity motor mechanism are completely

developed and adult coordination is demonstrated.⁵⁷

It is this neuromotor immaturity that characterizes the gait of the beginning walker. Gait observation of the 9-15 month old child reveals

Continued on page 120

Flatfoot (from page 119)

a wide base of gait with short bursts of forward progression (Figure 1). This wide base of stance and gait increases lateral and postural stability. The typical knee and hip flexed positions of the early walker serve to lower the center of gravity, providing further stability. The feet are markedly pronated, i.e., more of the plantar aspect is in contact with the ground. This pronated foot position increases the number of plantar proprioceptors in contact with the weight-bearing surface, logically improving proprioceptive feedback mechanisms for balance and stability.

The function of the lower extremity musculature is to reinforce skeletal integrity and to relax ligamentous tension during locomotion and stance. This function is achieved by exerting sufficient tension to resist undesirable motions that would either disrupt joint integrity or promote hypermobility. In an excessively pronated foot, the first body system to exhibit excessive activity is the musculotendinous apparatus. The efficiency of this functional unit is dependent upon a) proper muscle



Figure 1: The stance position of the beginning walker is characterized by a wide base of gait, knee and hip flexed positions with arms out at sides in an attempt to maintain balance. The feet are pronated, enabling increased proprioceptor contact with the supporting surface. Note left foot toe flexion.

high as 35% in males and 57% in females.⁶⁸ At an early age, it is impossible to determine which children will outgrow this laxity and which children will be left with a significant musculoskeletal deficiency.

Ligamentous Laxity

Ligamentous laxity should have sufficiently diminished to be clinically insignificant by 6-8 years of age in females and 8-10 years of age in males, although continued reduction occurs throughout adolescence.⁶⁹ Beyond this point only individuals with severe degrees of ligamentous laxity retain essentially unrestricted ranges of motion.^{64,70} When there is a history of familial joint laxity, it is likely that the child will similarly be affected and it is even more likely when both parents display lax ligaments. Schuster and Port hypothesized that individuals with high degrees of ligamentous laxity and accompanying severe pronation suffer from defects in hormonal metabolism.⁷¹

Ligamentous laxity is the most commonly ascribed etiology for flexible flatfoot in the pediatric patient.^{11,64,69,72-75} Schuster qualifies this by stating that only the generalized familial ligamentous laxity with associated hyperextensible knees, elbows, and wrists is the responsible etiology for “unusually” flat feet in children.⁷⁶

As far back as the 1920s, Dudley J Morton linked medial longitudinal arch collapse in conjunction with lax ligaments and a short first metatarsal.⁷⁷ According to Trott, when ligaments are lax, there is nothing to prevent medial, anterior, and plantarward displacement of the talar head with resultant flat-foot deformity.⁷⁸ While this is true, it is the strength and alignment of the osseous segments that primarily and predominantly determine foot morphology.^{9,20,37,79,80}

Arch Morphology

Arch morphology is derived from the intrinsic alignment of the tarsal

Continued on page 121

Ligamentous laxity is the most commonly ascribed etiology for pediatric flatfoot in the orthopedic literature.

strength and length, b) precisely sequenced phasic activity, c) balanced synergistic and antagonistic muscle function, d) the innate mechanical efficiency of the tendon and e) proprioceptor activity.²⁹ In a pronated foot, proprioceptors respond to the stimulus of ligament stretch by innervating muscle contractions by reflex action to the extent necessary to relieve the tension.

The Ligamentous System

The function of the ligamentous system in the foot is to secure the osseous framework. Ligaments are

the “living” cement that help to prevent the osseous segments from becoming displaced. A developmental inability to accomplish this function results in foot instability and deficiency (Figures 2, 3).

At birth all children are loose-jointed. This laxity peaks at two to three years of age and then gradually diminishes.⁶⁴ The prevalence of joint hypermobility in school age children ranges from 8-39%.⁶⁵⁻⁶⁷ Most children outgrow these lax ligaments; however, the incidence in adults has been estimated as low as 2% in males and 6% in females to as

Flatfoot (from page 120)

bones, which in a normal foot act as individual wedges that are forced together during weight-bearing, creating a stable structure.^{5,8,9,73,81-86} In an abnormal foot, these tarsal units are separated, thereby creating a weak, unstable horizontal beam.⁸⁷ Liga-

ments serve to restrict and maintain this osseous framework along with the additional reinforcement and stabilization provided by the musculotendinous apparatus.^{5,82,83,86,87}

In the developing child, the inability of the ligaments to secure the osseous framework and restrict excessive motion results in instability

ent structure that the foot undergoes upon weight-bearing along with medial displacement of body weight that is primarily responsible for symptomatology and deformity, not medial longitudinal arch flatness itself.^{14,81,88,89}

Pathomechanics

The developmental flatfoot is an excessively pronated flexible flatfoot with maximum calcaneal eversion noted upon weight-bearing. Accompanying abnormal subtalar and mid-tarsal joint pronation is a medial displacement of the line of weight-bearing (Figure 4). During gait, this medial displacement or center of force is carried medially instead of centrally as in a normally functioning foot. Prolonged tension on the spring ligament results in permanent elongation and deformation. The talocalcaneal and talometatarsal angles are increased and the calcaneal inclination decreased.

Pathologic superstructural influences have a long-term pathologic effect on the developing musculoskeletal system. These effects include, but are not limited to: altered application of force and overworking of the peroneus longus and posterior tibial tendons, adaptive contracture of the

Dudley Morton was the first to link medial longitudinal arch collapse with lax ligaments and a short first metatarsal.



Figure 2: Positive thumb-to-wrist test for ligamentous laxity in a 2 ½ year old



Figure 3: The weightbearing feet of this same child. Note the "way too many toes" sign.

with concomitant overworking of the musculotendinous apparatus. Since ligaments are expansile and not contractile in nature, prolonged tension, e.g., as occurs in an excessively pronated foot, permanently deforms and elongates these structures. Subsequently, abnormal foot function in the form of excessive pronation and medial displacement of body weight will be encouraged at the expense of normal osseous development (Figure 4).

The developmental flatfoot is immature, malaligned, and subject to the deforming effects of gravity and the environment in which it must function.

The height of the arch should not be used as a criterion to determine the amount and extent of pathology present in the foot and is an unreliable indicator of foot function.⁸¹ Both the high and the low-arched foot may function well; however, it is only through thorough musculoskeletal examination along with detailed history-taking that this can be determined.⁸⁷ It is the degree of collapse or deviation from its inher-

Achilles, tibialis anticus and peroneus brevis tendons, medial stress, strain and permanent deformation of medial collateral ligaments of the ankle and on the knee, abnormal, medially displaced epiphyseal forces, internal limb rotatory forces, knee and hip flexion, increased Q angle, increased lumbosacral angle, poor posture, and more.

All of these forces are taking place in a child whose osseous structures are immature and plastic and thus susceptible to deformation or

Continued on page 122

Flatfoot (from page 121)

reformation if intervention is undertaken.

Management

The developmental flatfoot is immature, malaligned, and subject to the deforming effects of gravity and the environment in which it must function. Pathologic forces are being applied to extremely malleable weight-bearing segments of the musculoskeletal system at a time when it is undergoing marked ontogenetic changes. The effect of these forces is delay of normal development, retention of in-utero positions, pro-

was found that 21% of the boys and 19% of the girls still had flat feet.²²

Although there has been much debate and controversy as to whether or not the asymptomatic flexible pediatric flatfoot should be treated, one would be hard-pressed to find a clinician who



Figure 4: Medial displacement of the line of gravity in this excessively pronated developmental flatfoot. Note the degree of forefoot abduction and lateral concavity

It is the strength and alignment of the osseous segments that primarily and predominantly determine foot morphology.

gressive deformity, dysfunction, and disability. The major dynamic functional deficits of the developmental flatfoot are an excessively mobile adaptor and an inability to function as a rigid lever at a time when it should be stable.

Statistically, it is an inefficient, inappropriate base of support for the superstructure. Therefore, the management objectives for the excessively pronated developmental type flatfoot should be to stabilize and align the osseous and soft tissue structures, neutralize excessive pronation, encourage rigid lever function, improve super-structural alignment and promote ideal development (Figure 5 a,b).^{17,28,29}

There is widespread belief that flexible flatfoot in children corrects itself spontaneously and that treatment is unnecessary. As Arthur J Helfet points out, a visit to an adult orthopedic foot center will rapidly dispel any such illusion.²² Helfet reiterated a study of 3,000 three-year old children in a Galilee kibbutz of which 80% had flat feet, none of which were treated and most of the time walked barefoot. At the age of 16, it

disagrees with conservative intervention in those children who are symptomatic.^{72,73,90-95} The real question here is not whether or not to treat an asymptomatic flexible flat foot but whether or not to treat an excessively pronated foot.

The notion that absence of symptoms equates with normal function is completely mistaken. In fact, the attendant malfunction, i.e., excessive pronation, regardless of the underlying pathology, is the same in the symptomatic as well as in the asymptomatic foot. Furthermore, it is not enough to ascertain the presence or absence of foot pain in the flexible flat-footed child in determining whether or not treatment should be rendered, but also whether or not foot dysfunction is producing ankle, knee, hip, or back pain, and therefore would fall into the symptomatic category, "justifying" conservative management.

And what about the asymptom-



Figure 5 (a, b): Successful realignment of the osseous and soft tissue structures in this young child. Maintenance of the foot and ankle in corrected alignment during growth and development will result in bony remodeling and concomitant improvement in function.

atic pediatric flexible flatfoot with a short Achilles tendon? Has this contracture been present since birth or has it evolved secondary to an everted calcaneal position as a result of excessive pronation with secondary adaptive shortening? In this case, if the excessive pronation were treated initially, then the treatment-permitted pathology (i.e., gastrocnemius/soleus equinus) would have never developed.

The pathologic effects of excessive pronation as a compensatory result of inherent structural deficiencies are obvious and restricted not only to static malalignment of the foot and ankle but to the superstructure as well.^{13-16,96}

This, in turn, creates a dynamic functional abnormality that nega-

Continued on page 123

BIOMECHANICS

Flatfoot (from page 122)

tively affects the entire musculoskeletal system.⁹⁷ Why then is it acceptable to leave an excessively pronated pediatric flatfoot untreated when that same foot in an adult would be treated? Since most experts agree that the morphology of the foot should achieve its adult form by seven to eight years of age, there is a very limited window of opportunity to influence development in a positive manner. Waiting to see which children will “grow out of it” and which ones won’t can be a very risky proposition.

Trott, in his article on children’s foot problems, states, “If it is possible to maintain the bones of the foot in normal relationship to one another during the growing years, regardless of whether the eventual outcome is a good arch or a flatfoot, the end result should minimize arthritic changes later in life.”¹²

Studies Pro and Con

There are a number of studies that demonstrate the benefits of early conservative management of the flexible pediatric flatfoot.^{31,34,60,91,93,98-103} There are also a number of “studies” in which the consensus is that there is limited evidence supporting the use of pre-

Upon closer look, it can be seen that all radiographic parameters had a positive correlation between the initial angle and change in radiographic angle with intervention. Those patients with the largest initial angle had the most change independent of method of treatment. Additionally, the UCBL group started with a greater deformity but ended with a smaller deformity. Finally, even though equinus was identified in this

is a critical oversight since abnormal foot function negatively impacts superstructural form and function.^{13-15,17,109,110} A recent study of 38 children with flexible flatfeet, excessive pronation, limb length discrepancy, and scoliosis treated with rigid orthoses, stretching, and strengthening revealed improvement in all areas.⁹⁶

Additionally, there are no long-term double-blind studies in the as-

It is generally agreed that ontogenic osseous development in the foot regarding basic form and position is complete by 7-8 years.

group of children, it was never utilized in the study. Eliminating the equinus subjects might show an even greater positive change due to the UCBL device.

Some of the negative studies assessing the effectiveness of custom foot orthoses on the developing asymptomatic pediatric flatfoot do not mention or fail to assess and address the relationship of the forefoot to the rearfoot, the rearfoot to the leg, or the presence or absence of equinus influences. As a result of these shortcomings, when the device is fabricat-

ymptomatic developmental flatfoot that trace the effects of various forms of non-operative intervention versus lack of intervention over a 30-, 40-, or 50-year time span.⁹¹ In many instances, it takes this long for neonatal musculoskeletal deficiencies that have been developmentally imbedded and left untreated to produce symptomatology either in the foot or in the superstructure. Absence of evidence should never be construed as evidence.

Evidence Without Perspective

Medicine is not only a science but also an art, and this is never more true than in the case of the conservative orthopedic management of pediatric orthopedic foot deformities such as talipes equinovarus, skew foot, metatarsus adductus, metatarsus varus, calcaneovalgus, and most pertinently the asymptomatic, excessively pronated flexible flatfoot. Experience, skill, knowledge, impression-casting facility, extensiveness, and accuracy of history taking and biomechanical examination technique (including at least observational gait analysis), choice of laboratory, choice of materials, choice of shell thickness, degree and type of posting, etc., all influence clinical outcomes as well as study data.

An additional factor to consider when evaluating articles is whether or not the level of pediatric foot care

Continued on page 124

The major dynamic functional deficits of the developmental flatfoot are best represented by an excessively mobile adaptor and lack of propulsive rigid lever.

scription foot orthoses in the asymptomatic pediatric flatfoot.¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁶

One of these “negative” radiographic studies on the use of shoes, inserts, and UCBL-type devices in the treatment of 129 flatfooted children all under six years of age concluded there was no difference between control and treated patients and that wearing any such device or modification for three years does not influence the course of flexible flatfoot in children.¹⁰⁷

ed, there is no prescribed correction for forefoot or rearfoot deviation, relegating it to the category of “custom” insole or arch support but not a functional foot orthosis.

With that being said, there are very limited studies on the effects of orthotic intervention in the excessively pronated, asymptomatic pediatric flexible flatfoot that take into account resultant or attendant pain or deformity in the skeleton it is designed to support and transport. This

Flatfoot (from page 123)

delivered and the training required to provide this care is commensurate with that of practitioners in the United States. Are the abilities and decision-making capabilities in breadth and depth hampered by education, residency, or licensure? Do these factors influence the study?

These are some of the questions that should be asked when assessing articles that seem to contradict logic and clinical experience. Evidence based medicine is only as accurate as the “evidence” it is based on and is not completely reliable without perspective or content.

Treatment pathway directives, “red light green light” guidelines for when to treat an asymptomatic pediatric flatfoot are much too simplistic and lack broad substantive, long-term, meta-analysis substantiation.¹⁰⁵ Without longitudinal evidence that one form of treatment is better or worse across a variety of outcome modalities, the responsibility is on the medical profession to treat early and prevent complications.¹¹¹

Furthermore, evidence based medicine and consensus-best therapy are being used to propagate “recipes” for patient care that do not allow for variation in comorbidities and other factors.¹¹²

As in the treatment of any pediatric deformity, the earlier treatment is instituted, the more favorable the prognosis.^{37,60,98,113,114} Early intervention in the development flatfoot is an established conservative approach to the management of excessive pronation and its sequelae in a generation whose feet may have to last 100 years or more! Those who advocate treatment only in symptomatic individuals fail to recognize the importance and long-term consequences of excessive pronation, not only on the

foot, but on the superstructure as well.

Most of the biomechanical problems seen in the developmental flatfoot are objective clinical findings without current subjective complaint.

Excessive pronation should always be neutralized, and if it can be visualized, it is excessive. Periodic monitoring of the excessively pronated immature foot will not improve pedal development, function,

The finding of a prominent, palpable medial talar head in the flexible pediatric flatfoot warrants treatment as early as infancy.

Furthermore, absence of symptoms is an unreliable indicator of optimum foot function in any age group. This is especially true in children. Excessive pronation is a poor postural position that sets the stage for future dysfunction and deformity and is abnormal at any age.⁷

or alignment. If a prominent head of the talus can be palpated medially, the likelihood of permanent deformity is sufficient to warrant treatment as early as infancy.³⁷

There are many immediate and long-term benefits of early and continued intervention in the conservative management of the developmentally challenged foot, including structural realignment, improved function, and reduced superstructural stresses (Table 1).

Summary

Developmental flatfoot is the most commonly occurring, most often overlooked or neglected, inconspicuous musculoskeletal condition affecting the foot of the child under six years of age. Recognition of the fact that the developmental flatfoot is the logical precursor of foot and limb dysfunction, deformity, and subsequent disability later on in life encourages the astute practitioner to intervene early in its conservative management. **PM**

Author’s Note: *Special thanks and a note of appreciation to Paul Tremblay, medical librarian at the New York College of Podiatric Medicine, for his assistance in obtaining many of the articles referenced, as well as to Stanley Beekman, DPM for his analysis of the Evans: “The Flatfooted Child: To*

Continued on page 125

**TABLE I:
Benefits of
Early Intervention
in the Developmentally
Challenged Foot**

- Restoration of lower extremity musculature normal function
- Redirection of pathologic epiphyseal stresses to normal pathways
- Improved direction of COF and COG
- Improved postural complex alignment
- Reduced lumbar and cervical lordosis
- Reduced dorsal kyphosis
- Decreased lumbosacral angle
- Decreased Q angle
- Decreased talar declination
- Decreased angle of Kite
- Increased calcaneal inclination
- Increased propulsion
- Decreased midstance
- Vertical calcaneus
- Rectus forefoot
- Locked midtarsal joint
- First ray stability
- Knee and hip extension
- Increased height

Flatfoot (from page 124)

Treat or Not to Treat” article, and to R Paul Jordan, DPM for his thoughts, contacts, and comments.

References

- ¹ Tax HR Excessively pronated feet: a health hazard to developing children Child & Adolescent Social Work Jrn 1993
- ² Whitman R. Orthopedic Surgery Ed 5, Philadelphia:Lea & Febiger;1917.
- ³ Ozonoff MB Pediatric Orthopedic Radiology WB Saunders Phil PA 1979.
- ⁴ Bouchard M Mosca VS Flatfoot deformity in children and adolescents:surgical indications and management.
- ⁵ Mann RA Principles of examination of the foot and ankle in Mann RA Surgery of the Foot Mosby, St Louis 1986; 5:32.
- ⁶ Blount WP Fractures in Children Krieger, New York 1977 p185.
- ⁷ Tax HR Flexible flatfoot in children JAPA 1977 p617.
- ⁸ Mann R Inman VT Phasic activity of the intrinsic muscles of the foot J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1969;46:469-81.
- ⁹ Basmajian JV Stecko G The role of muscles in arch support of the foot An electromyographic study J Bone Joint Surg (am) 1963;45:1184-90.
- ¹⁰ Schwartz RP Heath AL Conservative treatment of functional disorders of the feet in the adolescent and adult. JBJ-S31A:501,1949.
- ¹¹ Mosca VS Flexible flatfoot and skewfoot. Chapt 39 Instructional Course Lectures 45:347-54, 1996.
- ¹² Trott AW Children’s foot problems Ortho Clinics NA 1982;13:3.
- ¹³ D’Amico JC The postural complex JAPA 1976;66(8):568-574.
- ¹⁴ Dananberg HJ Giuliano M Low back pain and its response to custom foot orthoses J Am Podiatr Med Assoc 1999;89(3):109-117.
- ¹⁵ D’Amico JC Rubin M The influence of foot orthoses on the quadriceps angle J Amer Podiatr Med Assoc 1986;76(6):337-340.
- ¹⁶ Molgaard C Rathleff MS et al., Patellofemoral pain syndrome and its association with hip, ankle and foot function in 16-18 year old high school students Jrn Amer Podiatr Med Assoc 2011;100(11)215-222.
- ¹⁷ Jay RM Schoenhaus HD Hyperpronation control with a dynamic stabilizing innersole system J Amer Podiatr Med Assoc 1992;82(3):149-153,
- ¹⁸ Smith LS The effect of soft and semi rigid orthoses upon rearfoot movement in running JAPMA 1986;76:277,
- ¹⁹ McPoil TG Biomechanics of the foot in walking: a functional approach J Orthop Sports Phys Ther 1985;7:69,

- ²⁰ Edmonson A Greenshaw A Campbell’s operative orthopedics. St Louis, Mosby;1980.
- ²¹ Salter R Textbook of disorders of the musculoskeletal system Baltimore, Williams and Wilkins;1983.
- ²² Helfet AJ Gruebel Lee DM Disorders of the Foot Philadelphia, JB Lippincott 1980:45, 50.
- ²³ Helfet AJ A new way of treating flatfeet in children Lancet 1956;262-264.
- ²⁴ Tachdjian MOPediatric Orthopedics Vol 4. Philadelphia:WB Saunders;1990.
- ²⁵ McCarthy JJ Drennan JC (eds) Drennan’s The Child’s Foot & Ankle Lippincott Williams & Wilkins New York 2010.
- ²⁶ Moazzaz P Otsuka NY Complications in the management of talipes equinovarus in Drennan’s The Child’s Foot

- 1976;66:363-371.
- ³⁶ Domisse GA:Flatfoot II S A Med Jrn July 1971.
- ³⁷ Morton DJ The Human Foot Columbia University Press New York 1935.
- ³⁸ Connolly J Regen E Pigeon toes and flatfeet Ped Clinics N A 1970;17:2.
- ³⁹ Hansen SG Adult consequences of pediatric foot disorders in Drennan’s The Child’s Foot & Ankle McCarthy JJ Drennan JC (eds) 2010:526-529.
- ⁴⁰ Glasoe WM Coughlin MJ A critical analysis of Dudley Morton’s concept of disordered foot function Jrn Foot Ankle Surg 2006;45(3):147-155.
- ⁴¹ Schuster RO Origins and implications of frontal plane imbalances of the leg and foot. Yearbook of podiatric medicine and surgery, 1981.

There are many immediate and long-term benefits of early and continued intervention in the conservative management of the developmentally challenged foot, including structural realignment, improved function, and reduced superstructural stresses.

& Ankle Mc Carthy JC Drennan JJ (eds) New York 2010:99, 109.

- ²⁷ Thompson GH Abaza H Metatarsus adductus and metatarsus varus in Drennan’s The Child’s Foot & Ankle Mc Carthy JJ Drennan JC (eds) New York 2010:121-123.
- ²⁸ D’Amico JC Developmental Flatfoot in Clinics in Podiatry 1984;3:535-587,
- ²⁹ D’Amico JC Developmental Flatfoot in Thompson P Volpe RL Introduction to Podopediatrics Chrchill Livingstone 2001:252-273.
- ³⁰ DiGiovanni C Greisberg J Core Knowledge in Orthopaedics Foot & Ankle Mosby St Louis 2007.
- ³¹ Bordelon RI. Correction of hypermobile flatfeet in children by molded insert. Foot Ankle 1980;1(3):143-150.
- ³² Paul RG Common foot deformities in infancy and childhood. J Fam Pract 1976;3(5):537-543.
- ³³ Powell HD Pes planovalgus in children Clin Orhop 1983;177:133-139.
- ³⁴ Wernick J, Volpe RG Lower extremity function and normal mechanics. In Valmassy RL, ed. Clinical biomechanics of the lower extremity. St Louis: Mosby; 1996;13-15.
- ³⁵ Tax HR The evolutionary and phylogenetic development of the lower extremity in man J Am Podiatr Assoc

- ⁴² Tax HR An introduction to the study of children’s feet:part one J Natl Assoc of Chirop-Pod March, 1944.
- ⁴³ Schuster RO The effects of modern footwear Jrn Amer Podiatr Med Assoc 1987;68:235-241.
- ⁴⁴ Haeckel E Riddle of the Universe at the Close of the 19th Century 1899.
- ⁴⁵ Morton DJ Evolution of the human foot J Bone J Surg 1924;56:56-90.
- ⁴⁶ Lovtrop S On von Baerian and Haeckelian recapitulation Systematic Zoology 1978;27(3)348-352.
- ⁴⁷ Kallinka AT Tomancak P The evolution of early animal embryos Trends in Ecology 2012;3:7.
- ⁴⁸ Trott AW:Children’s foot problems. Orthop Clin North Am 1982;13(3):641-654.
- ⁴⁹ Beck R, Andriacci T.Changes in the growth pattern of normal children.J Bone and Joint Surg 1981;63:1452.
- ⁵⁰ Katoh Y, Chao EYS, Laughman RK, et al. Biomechanical analysis of foot function during gait and clinical applications. Clin Orhop 1983;177:23.
- ⁵¹ McGraw M. Neuromuscular development of the human infant.J Pediatr 1940;17:741.
- ⁵² Shirley MM, Development of walk-

Continued on page 126

Flatfoot (from page 125)

ing in the first two years: a study of 25 babies. Minneapolis; University of Minnesota; 1931.

⁵³ Stantham M, Murray M Early walking patterns of normal children Clin Orthop 1971;79:8.

⁵⁴ Sutherland DH, Olshen R, Cooper L et al. The development of mature gait. J Bone Joint Surg 1980;62:336.

⁵⁵ Wolff J The Law of Bone Remodeling New York Springer 1986 (translation of the 1892 German edition).

⁵⁶ Davis HG Conservative Surgery NY Appleton 1867.

⁵⁷ Tax HR Podopediatrics. Baltimore:Williams and Wilkins; 1980.

⁵⁸ Schuster RO Podiatry and the foot of the athlete. JAPA 1972.

⁵⁹ Herr N, Pyle I, Francis C Radiographic atlas of skeletal development of the foot and ankle. Springfield:Charles C Thomas;1962.

⁶⁰ Wenger DR, Leach J.Foot deformities in infants and children. Pediatr Clin North Am 1986;33(6):1411-1427.

⁶¹ Bordelon RI Hypermobility flatfoot in children. Comprehension, evaluation and treatment.Clin Orthop 1983;181:7-14.

⁶² Christman RA Foot and Ankle Radiology Churchill Livingstone 2015:145-158.

⁶³ Mantagine J, Clievrot A, Galmiche JM. Atlas of foot radiology. New York; Mason; 1981.

⁶⁴ Barry RJ, Scranton PE. Flatfoot in children. Clin Orthop 1983;181:68-75.

⁶⁵ Larsson LG Baum J et al., Hypermobility:prevalence and features in a Swedish population. Br Jn Rheumatol 1993;32:116-119.

⁶⁶ Decosler LC Valas JC et al. Prevalence and features of joint hypermobility among adolescent athletes. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1997;151:989-992.

⁶⁷ Rikken-Bultman DG Wellink L et al., Hypermobility in two Dutch school populations. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 1997;731:189-192.

⁶⁸ Remvig L Jensen DV Epidemiology of generalized joint hypermobility and basis for the proposed criteria for benign joint hypermobility syndrome:A review of the literature Jn Rheumatol 2007;34(4):804-809.

⁶⁹ Valmassy RL Lower extremity treatment modalities for the pediatric patient In:Valmassy RL, ed. Clinical biomechanics of the lower extremity. St Louis:Mosby;1996;442-443, 448.

⁷⁰ Salter R Textbook of disorders of the musculoskeletal system Williams & Wilkins Baltimore 1983.

⁷¹ Schuster RO, Port M.Abnormal pronation in children:an hormonal etiology. J Am Podiatr Assoc 1977;67:613-615.

⁷² Bouchard M Mosca VS Flatfoot deformity in children and adolescents: surgical indications and management Jn Amer Acad Orthop Surg1014:623-632.

⁷³ Mosca VS Flexible flatfoot and skewfoot in Drennan's The Child's Foot & Ankle McCarthy JJ Drennan JC (eds) Lippincott Williams & Wilkins New York 2010:136-149.

⁷⁴ Sharrard WJ. Intoeing and flatfeet. BMJ 1976;(6014):88-89.

⁷⁵ Preston ET. Flat foot deformity. Am Fam Physician. 1974;9(2143-147.

⁷⁶ Schuster RO The effects of modern footwear Jn Am Podiatr Assoc 1978;68(4):235-241.

⁷⁷ Morton DJ Hypermobility of the first metatarsal bone: the interlinking factor between metatarsalgia and longitudinal arch strains J Bone J Surg 1928;10:187-197.

⁷⁸ Trott AW Childrens foot problems Ortho Clinics NA 1982 13(3):641-654.

⁷⁹ Connolly J Regen E Pigeon toes and flatfeet. Pediatr Clin North Am 1970;17(2):291-307.

⁸⁰ Mehan PL. The flexible flatfoot. In: American Acaademy of Orthopedic Surgeons: Instructional course lectures 1982;31:261-262.

⁸¹ D'Amico JC Emerging insights on the collapsible cavus Podiatry Today Feb 2017: 42-51.

⁸² Harris RI Beath T Army foot survey Vol 1 Ottawa: National Research Council of Canada 1947:1-268.

⁸³ Harris RI Beth T Hypermobility flatfoot with short tendo Achilles J Bone Joint Surg (Am) 1948(30):116-138.

⁸⁴ Hicks JH The mechanics of the foot I The joints J Anat 1953;87:345-357.

⁸⁵ Hicks JH The mechanics of the foot II The plantar aponeurosis and the arch J Anat 1954;88:25-35.

⁸⁶ Lobo M Greisberg J Adult acquired flatfoot In: Digiovanni C Greisberg J (eds) Foot and Ankle: Core Knowledge in Orthopaedics Vol 1 Elsevier Mosby Phil 2007;38-57.

⁸⁷ D'Amico JC Understanding the first ray Podiatry Management 2016:109-122.

⁸⁸ Leung AK, Mak AF, Evans JH. Biomedical gait evaluation of the immediate effect of orthotic treatment for flexible flat foot Prosthet Orthot Int1998;22(1):25-34.

⁸⁹ Razeghi M Batt ME Foot type classification: A critical review of current methods Gait Posture 2002;15(3):282-291.

⁹⁰ Blitz NM Stabile RJ Giorgini RJ et al Flexible pediatric and adolescent pes planovalgus: conservative and surgical treatment options Clin Podiatr Med Surg 2010(27):59-77.

⁹¹ Coleman SS Complex foot deformity in children Lea & Feibger Phil 1983:194.

⁹² Joseph B Planovalgus deformity in

Pediatric Orthopaedics: A System of Decision Making Joseph B Nayagam S Loder R et al (eds) New York 2016:49-55.

⁹³ Staheli LT Planovalgus foot deformity Current status Jn Amer Podiatr Med Assoc 1999;88:94.

⁹⁴ Harris E Vancore J Thomas J et al., Diagnosis and treatment of pediatric flat-foot Jn Foot & Ankle Surgery 2004;43:6.

⁹⁵ Staheli LT Fundamentals of Pediatric Orthopedics Livingston Williams Wilkins 2015.

⁹⁶ Kim B Chang I et al Effect of custom molded rigid foot orthosis on functional lumbar scoliosis in children International Soc Pros Orth 2013.

⁹⁷ Lee JH Sung IY Yoo JY Clinical or radiologic measurements and 3-D gait analysis in children with pes planus Pediatr Int 2009;51(2):201-5.

⁹⁸ Bleck EE Berzins BA Conservative management of pes valgus with plantarflexed talus, flexible Clin Orthop 1977;122:85-94.

⁹⁹ Asami T Kodama K Akiyama N et al Orthotic treatment using shoe inserts for talipes planovalgus in children Presented at International Soc of Pros & Orth 2013.

¹⁰⁰ Donohue BK Kulnell KA Strenk ML Rehabilitation of congenital and developmental conditions in children in Samarco GJ Rehabilitation of the Foot & Ankle Mosby St Louis 1995:181-182.

¹⁰¹ Mereday C Dolan C Luskin R Evaluation of the UCBL shoe insert in flexible pes planus Clin Orthop 1972;Jan-Feb(82);45-58.

¹⁰² Basta NW Mital MA Bonadio O et al A conservative study of the roles of shoes, arch supports and navicular cookies on the management of symptomatic mobile flatfeet in children In Orthop 1977;1:143-148.

¹⁰³ Duffin A Kidd R et al., High plantar pressure and callus in diabetic adolescents, Incidence and treatment JAPMA 2003;93(3):214-220.

¹⁰⁴ Whitford D Esterman A A randomized controlled trial of two types of in-shoe orthoses in children with flexible excess pronation of the feet Foot & Ankle Int 2007;28:6.

¹⁰⁵ Evans, AM The flat-footed child—To treat or not to treat. What is the clinician to do? JAPMA98,(5) Sept/Oct 2008.

¹⁰⁶ Evans AM, Rome K:A review of the evidence for non-surgical intervention for pediatric flexible flatfeet Eur Jn Phys & Rehab Med 47, 2011.

¹⁰⁷ Rome K Ashford RL Evans A Non-surgical interventions for paediatric pes planus Cochcrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(1):CD006311.

¹⁰⁸ Wenger DR Mauldin D Speck G et al Corrective shoes and inserts as treat-

Continued on page 127

Flatfoot (from page 126)

ment for flatfeet in infants and children J Bone Joint Surg Am 1989;71(6):800-10.

¹⁰⁹ Chen YC Lou SZ Huang CY et al Effects of foot orthoses on gait patterns of flat feet patients Clin Biomech 2010;25(3):265-270.

¹¹⁰ Halabchi F Mazaheri R Mirshahi M et al Pediatric flexible flatfoot; clinical aspects and algorithmic approach Iran J Pediatr 2013;23(3):247-260.

¹¹¹ Lodi-Smith J Univ Texas Dallas:Personal communication to R Paul Jordan on Evans AM The flat footed child to treat or not to treat 2009.

¹¹² Lehman TJA:Franchise medicine does harm. Rheumatology News Jan 2011.

¹¹³ Rose GK Pes planus in Jhass MH (Ed) Disorders of the Foot Phil WB Saunders 1982;486-520.

¹¹⁴ Huurmann WH Congenital foot deformities in Mann RA (Ed) Surgery of the Foot St Louis Mosby 1986;542-543.

Additional References

Herring JA Tachdjian's Pediatric Orthopaedics Philadelphia Saunders 2013.

Menkveld SR Analysis of gait patterns in normal schoolchildren J Pediatr Orthop 1988;8:263.

Roper BA.Flat foot. Br J Hosp Mewd 1979;22(4)35-37.

Beighton P Solomon L Articular mobility in an African population Ann Rheum Dis 1973;32:413-418.

Forleo LH Hilario MO et al., Articular hypermobility in school children in San Paulo, Brazil J Rheumatol 1993;20:916-917.



Dr. D'Amico is Professor and Former Chair Division of Orthopedics & Pediatrics at the New York College of Podiatric Medicine. He is a Diplomate of the American Board of Orthopedics & Medicine and is in private practice in New York, NY.

CME EXAMINATION

SEE ANSWER SHEET ON PAGE 129.

1) What is the most commonly ascribed etiology for pediatric flatfoot in the orthopedic literature?

- A) ligamentous laxity
- B) tarsal coalition
- C) birth trauma
- D) limb length discrepancy

2) Which one of the following practitioners was the first to link medial longitudinal arch collapse with lax ligaments and a short first metatarsal?

- A) Dudley Morton
- B) Merton Root
- C) Henry DuVries
- D) Herman Tax

3) Foot morphology is determined first and foremost by which one of the following?

- A) ligaments
- B) muscles
- C) tendons
- D) strength and alignment of the osseous segments

4) Which one of the following describes the developmental flatfoot?

- A) immature
- B) malaligned

C) subject to the deforming effects of gravity
D) all of the above

5) What is the effect of pathologic forces applied to the foot and ankle while undergoing development?

- A) delay of normal development
- B) retention of in-utero positions
- C) progressive deformity and dysfunction
- D) all of the above

6) The major dynamic functional deficits of the developmental flatfoot are best represented by which one of the following?

- A) excessively mobile adaptor and lack of propulsive rigid lever
- B) poor shock absorption and lack of propulsive rigid lever
- C) abbreviated heel contact and increased propulsive phase
- D) poor shock absorption and increased propulsive rigidity

7) Management objectives for the developmental flatfoot include which of the following?

- A) stabilize and align the osseous and soft tissue structures

Continued on page 128

- B) neutralize excessive pronation
C) improve superstructural alignment
D) all of the above
- 8) It is generally agreed that ontogenic osseous development in the foot regarding basic form and position is complete by what age?
A) 4-5 years
B) 7-8 years
C) 10-12 years
D) 14-16 year
- 9) Which of the following factors influence clinical outcomes in the conservative management of the excessively pronated flexible pediatric flatfoot?
A) clinical experience
B) impression-casting methodology and facility
C) orthotic prescription and laboratory fabrication accuracy
D) all of the above
- 10) Which one of the following findings in the flexible pediatric flatfoot warrants treatment as early as infancy?
A) prominent, palpable medial talar head
B) patella aligned with ankle
C) lateral convexity
D) excessive dorsiflexion

SEE ANSWER SHEET ON PAGE 129.

The author(s) certify that they have NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge, or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

PM's CME Program

Welcome to the innovative Continuing Education Program brought to you by *Podiatry Management Magazine*. Our journal has been approved as a sponsor of Continuing Medical Education by the Council on Podiatric Medical Education.

Now it's even easier and more convenient to enroll in PM's CE program!

You can now enroll at any time during the year and submit eligible exams at any time during your enrollment period.

CME articles and examination questions from past issues of *Podiatry Management* can be found on the Internet at <http://www.podiatrym.com/cme>. Each lesson is approved for 1.5 hours continuing education contact hours. Please read the testing, grading and payment instructions to decide which method of participation is best for you.

Please call (631) 563-1604 if you have any questions. A personal operator will be happy to assist you.

Each of the 10 lessons will count as 1.5 credits; thus a maximum of 15 CME credits may be earned during any 12-month period. You may select any 10 in a 24-month period.

The Podiatry Management Magazine CME program is approved by the Council on Podiatric Education in all states where credits in instructional media are accepted. This article is approved for 1.5 Continuing Education Contact Hours (or 0.15 CEU's) for each examination successfully completed.

PM's privacy policy can be found at <http://podiatrym.com/privacy.cfm>.

This CME is valid for CPME-approved credits for three (3) years from the date of publication.

Enrollment/Testing Information and Answer Sheet

Note: If you are mailing your answer sheet, you must complete all info. on the front and back of this page and mail with your credit card information to: **Program Management Services, P.O. Box 490, East Islip, NY 11730.**

TESTING, GRADING AND PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS

(1) Each participant achieving a passing grade of 70% or higher on any examination will receive an official computer form stating the number of CE credits earned. This form should be safeguarded and may be used as documentation of credits earned.

(2) Participants receiving a failing grade on any exam will be notified and permitted to take one re-examination at no extra cost.

(3) All answers should be recorded on the answer form below. For each question, decide which choice is the best answer, and circle the letter representing your choice.

(4) Complete all other information on the front and back of this page.

(5) Choose one out of the 3 options for testgrading: mail-in, fax, or phone. To select the type of service that best suits your needs, please read the following section, "Test Grading Options".

TEST GRADING OPTIONS

Mail-In Grading

To receive your CME certificate, complete all information and mail with your credit card information to: **Program Management Services, P.O. Box 490, East Islip, NY 11730. PLEASE DO NOT SEND WITH SIGNATURE REQUIRED, AS THESE WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.**

There is **no charge** for the mail-in service if you have already enrolled in the annual exam CME program, and we receive this exam during your current enrollment period. If you are not enrolled, please send \$27.00 per exam, or \$219 to cover all 10 exams (thus saving \$51 over the cost of 10 individual exam fees).

Facsimile Grading

To receive your CME certificate, complete all information and fax 24 hours a day to 1631-532-1964. Your CME certificate will be dated and mailed within 48 hours. This service is available for \$2.50 per exam if you are currently enrolled in the annual 10-exam CME program (and this exam falls within your enrollment period), and can be charged to your Visa, MasterCard, or American Express.

If you are *not* enrolled in the annual 10-exam CME program, the fee is \$27 per exam.

Phone-In Grading

You may also complete your exam by using the toll-free service. Call 1-800-232-4422 from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. EST, Monday through Friday. Your CME certificate will be dated the same day you call and mailed within 48 hours. There is a \$2.50 charge for this service if you are currently enrolled in the annual 10-exam CME program (and this exam falls within your enrollment period), and this fee can be charged to your Visa, Mastercard, American Express, or Discover. If you are not currently enrolled, the fee is \$27 per exam. When you call, please have ready:

1. Program number (Month and Year)
2. The answers to the test
3. Credit card information

In the event you require additional CME information, please contact PMS, Inc., at **1-631-563-1604.**

ENROLLMENT FORM & ANSWER SHEET

Please print clearly...Certificate will be issued from information below.

Name _____ Email Address _____

Please Print: FIRST MI LAST

Address _____

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Charge to: Visa MasterCard American Express

Card # _____ Exp. Date _____ Zip for credit card _____

Note: Credit card is the only method of payment. Checks are no longer accepted.

Signature _____ Email Address _____ Daytime Phone _____

State License(s) _____ Is this a new address? Yes No

Check one: I am currently enrolled. (If faxing or phoning in your answer form please note that \$2.50 will be charged to your credit card.)

I am not enrolled. Enclosed is my credit card information. Please charge my credit card \$27.00 for each exam submitted. (plus \$2.50 for each exam if submitting by fax or phone).

I am not enrolled and I wish to enroll for 10 courses at \$219.00 (thus saving me \$51 over the cost of 10 individual exam fees). I understand there will be an additional fee of \$2.50 for any exam I wish to submit via fax or phone.

Over, please

**EXAM #8/18
Developmental Flatfoot—Part 2
(D'Amico)**

Circle:

- | | |
|------------|-------------|
| 1. A B C D | 6. A B C D |
| 2. A B C D | 7. A B C D |
| 3. A B C D | 8. A B C D |
| 4. A B C D | 9. A B C D |
| 5. A B C D | 10. A B C D |

Medical Education Lesson Evaluation

Strongly agree [5]	Agree [4]	Neutral [3]	Disagree [2]	Strongly disagree [1]
--------------------------	--------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------------------

- 1) This CME lesson was helpful to my practice ____
- 2) The educational objectives were accomplished ____
- 3) I will apply the knowledge I learned from this lesson ____
- 4) I will make changes in my practice behavior based on this lesson ____
- 5) This lesson presented quality information with adequate current references ____
- 6) What overall grade would you assign this lesson?
A B C D
- 7) This activity was balanced and free of commercial bias.
Yes ____ No ____

How long did it take you to complete this lesson?
____ hour ____ minutes

What topics would you like to see in future CME lessons?
Please list :
